ADOPTED by Council at its meeting held March 19, 2012 [M157-2012]

KK/

Windsor, Ontario March 19, 2012

REPORT NO. 14 of the FAMILY AQUATIC STEERING COMMITTEE

of its meeting held January 30, 2012

Present:	Councillor Drew Dilkens, Chair		
	Councillor Ed Sleiman		
	Councillor Ron Jones		

Councillor Hilary Payne Councillor Fulvio Valentinis

Your Committee submits the following recommendation:

Moved by Councillor Sleiman, seconded by Councillor Jones,

That a Change Order **BE RECEIVED** with respect to the development of the Family Aquatic Centre's front fa9ade in accordance with the process recommended by the City's external legal counsel.

Carried.

<u>Clerk's Note:</u> The report of the Manager of Purchasing & Risk Management

entitled ""Family Aquatic Centre (the "Facility") - Fa9ade e elopment" is attached.

COMMITTEE COORDINATOR

NOTIFICATION	
Members of the Family Aquatics	
Complex Steering Committee	
(including resource personnel)	

THE CO CITY SOLICITOR- Le al Services

MISSION STATEMENT:

"The City of W-mdsor, with the involvement of its citizens, will deliver effective responsive municipal services, and will mobilize innovative community partnerships"

Author's Name: Shelby Askin Hager	_ate Heard : .
Author's Phone: 519.255.6100 (6280)	Classification·#:
Author's E-mail: shager@city.windsor.on.ca	

To: Members of the Steering Committee - Family Aquatic Complex

Subject: Family Aquatic Complex (the "Facility") - Fa ade Development

1. **RECOMMENDATION:** City Wide: X Ward(s): ___

THAT a Change Order **BE APPROVED** with respect to the development of the Facility's front fa9ade in accordance with the process recommended by the City's external legal counsel.

2. BACKGROUND:

)

On December 15, 2011 by Council Resolution.302/11, Council.approved the award of RFP 114-11 to Ellis Don Corporation/ De Arigelis Construction Inc. in joint venture (the "Contractor") at a fixed price of \$60,512,300 and an overall project budget net of non-corporate recoveries of \$62,622,300. Included iri this budget is a contingency of \$2,900,000. The means of use of this contingency will be dictated by the project charter, but it is intended to address out-of-scope matters expected to arise in a project of this magnitude and complexity.

During the design development phase, a change to the Facility's front fa9ade was contempkited. A design befitting the prominence of this project jp_ the City's downtown core has been created, but cannot be accomplished within the contract price of \$60,512,300 as it valued at \$500,000. Recognizing the partnership between the City and the Contractor in the project as well as the project budget, an agreement has been reached which is satisfactory to all parties and would result in the enhanced fa9ade being accomplished within the City's project budget.

3. **DISCUSSION:**

Design/build processes are, by their nature dynamic. The initial designs are not fleshed out to the fine level of detail required to build, and in the process of developing the designs, changes are expected to occur. The Faci ity is: g ing; to e a landmark 1>.uilding in the City's downtown

rold int 't g6iJ{Te;itali ti& '.tBecause of this,

cur.uun_n u1te1·est•.· in the appearance the Facility.iif):.:i(?,, ;;\;/:

The design accept; d, by Coun_cil of the Facility's fa9ad h { een forther developed to reflect the. City's desires. To build such a design, however, will cost an additional \$500,000. As noted, the City has a contingency budget of \$2,900,000. The expenditure of \$500,000 fits well within this contingency and adds value to the Facility without having a negative impact on the project budget. That being said, the project is in its early stages and other issues may arise that require recourse to the contingency fund.

To balance these competing concerns, the City and the Contractor-have agreed that the City will segregate \$500,000 of the coillmgericy"fund to pay for the facade improvements at the end of the construction. This segregated contingency will remain under the full control of the City, but the City will not make use of this money for anything other than the fa9ade payment unless it isrequired to satisfy a problem that affects the core functionality of the Facility. In the event that occurs whatever .remains in the segregated contingency will be paid to the contractor, and the City will pay 50% ·of the unfunded balance. Based on this formula, the City's maximum additional liability will be \$250,000, or 0.004% of the overall project budget net of non □ corporate recoveries.

Because of the stringent nature of the Contract and the thoroughness of the OSR, the City is confident that the segregated contingency will be entirely available at the end of the project. To put this agreement into effect, a change order is required to describe the nature of the agreement and to define the point at which the cost of the change will be assessed against the contract price. The contract price will not change until the end of the project when the availability of the segregated contingency will determine the net cost of the change to the City.

4. FINANCIAL MATTERS:.

As noted in the discussion, if the City were to completely exhaust the contingency budget including the segregated contingency, the maximum additional financial liability to the City is \$250,000, or 0.004% of the overall project budget net of all non-corporate recoveries.

5. **CONSULTATIONS:**

Family Aquatic Complex Executive Committee
City Solicitor
EllisDon Corporation/DeAngelis Construction Inc. (in joint venture)
Miller Thomson LLP (Bill Pigott and Drazen Bulat)

7. <u>CONCLUSION:</u>

Through the development of the design for the Facility, desired changes to the fa9ade have been identified that would "better meet the City's vision of an iconic landmark building. These changes would cost **an** additional \$500,000 that can be accommodated within the contingency on

/SAH